UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.    | FILING DATE                        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |               | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|--|---------------|------------------|
| 10/556,711         | 11/13/2006                         | Kanazawa Ichiro                          | 051009/303044 | 5017             |
| 826<br>ALSTON & BI | 7590 10/14/200<br>RD LLP           | EXAMINER                                 |               |                  |
|                    | ERICA PLAZA                        | GIBBS, TERRA C                           |               |                  |
|                    | RYON STREET, SUIT<br>NC 28280-4000 | ART UNIT                                 | PAPER NUMBER  |                  |
|                    |                                    |  | 1635          |                  |
|                    |                                    |  |               |                  |
|                    |                                    |  | MAIL DATE     | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                    |                                    |  | 10/14/2008    | PAPER            |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  |   | ,                | Application N      | lo.   | Applicant(s)       |             |  |  |
|--|---|------------------|--------------------|---|--------------------|-------------|--|--|
|  |   |                  | 10/556,711         |   | ICHIRO ET AL.      |             |  |  |
|  | Office Action Summary   |                  | Examiner           |   | Art Unit           |             |  |  |
|  |   |                  | TERRA C. GII       | BBS   | 1635               |             |  |  |
| Period fo  | The MAILING DATE of this commur<br>r Reply  | nication appe    | ars on the co      | ver sheet with the c  | orrespondence ad   | ddress      |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                  |                    |   |                    |             |  |  |
| Status   |   |                  |                    |   |                    |             |  |  |
| 1)   | Responsive to communication(s) file   | ed on 10 Nov     | vember 2007        |   |                    |             |  |  |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | •   | 2b)⊠ This a      |                    |   |                    |             |  |  |
| ′=   |   | <i>'—</i>        |                    |   | secution as to the | e merits is |  |  |
| •  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |                  |                    |   |                    |             |  |  |
|  | on of Claims  |                  |                    | ,   |                    |             |  |  |
| · _  |   | application      |                    |   |                    |             |  |  |
| , —  | Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |                  |                    |   |                    |             |  |  |
|  | Claim(s) is/are allowed.  | are withdrawi    | THOM CONSIC        | eration.  |                    |             |  |  |
| •  | · · · ——  |                  |                    |   |                    |             |  |  |
|  | Claim(s) is/are rejected.   |                  |                    |   |                    |             |  |  |
| ·  | Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |                  |                    |   |                    |             |  |  |
| 8)[X]  | Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> are subject to restrict  | ion and/or ele   | ection require     | ement.  |                    |             |  |  |
| Application  | on Papers   |                  |                    |   |                    |             |  |  |
| 9) 🗆 -   | The specification is objected to by th  | ne Examiner.     |                    |   |                    |             |  |  |
| 10) 🔲 -  | The drawing(s) filed on is/are  | : а) 🗌 ассер     | oted or b)□ o      | objected to by the l  | Examiner.          |             |  |  |
|  | Applicant may not request that any obje   | ection to the dr | awing(s) be he     | eld in abeyance. See  | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |             |  |  |
|  | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |                  |                    |   |                    |             |  |  |
| 11) 🔲 -  | 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |                  |                    |   |                    |             |  |  |
| Priority u   | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119  |                  |                    |   |                    |             |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |   |                  |                    |   |                    |             |  |  |
| 2) Notice (3) Inform   | e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fration Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date  | PTO-948)         | 4)  <br>5)  <br>6) | Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other: | ate                |             |  |  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is a response to Applicant's Preliminary Amendment filed November 10, 2005.

Claims 2-17 have been amended.

Claims 1-17 are pending in the instant application.

Claims 1-17 are subject to restriction as detailed below:

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-13, 15, and 16, drawn to a double-stranded RNA composed of sense- and antisense-strand RNAs, homologous to a certain sequence targeted against a huntingtin mRNA, which can inhibit huntingtin gene expression, classifiable in class 536, subclass 24.5, for example.
- II. Claims 14 and 17, drawn to a method for suppressing the expression of a huntingtin gene in a living body or living cell of a mammal the method comprising introducing into a living body or living cell of a mammal a double-stranded RNA composed of sense- and antisense-strand RNAs, homologous to a certain sequence targeted against a huntingtin mRNA, which can inhibit huntingtin gene expression, classifiable in class 514, subclass 44, for example.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other, because of the following reasons:

Page 3

Art Unit: 1635

Group I is related to Group II as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the double-stranded RNA composed of sense- and antisense-strand RNAs, homologous to a certain sequence targeted against a huntingtin mRNA, which can inhibit huntingtin gene expression of Group I can be used in materially different process such as a hybridization probe in a method of identifying huntingtin gene expression in situ, which is a materially different process than the method for suppressing the expression of a huntingtin gene in a living body or living cell of a mammal the method comprising introducing into a living body or living cell of a mammal a double-stranded RNA composed of sense- and antisense-strand RNAs, homologous to a certain sequence targeted against a huntingtin mRNA, which can inhibit huntingtin gene expression of Group II. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the Examiner if restriction were not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP 808.02). Therefore, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Also, because these

Art Unit: 1635

inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the Examiner if restriction were not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above <u>and</u> there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention,

Art Unit: 1635

the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terra C. Gibbs whose telephone number is 571-272-0758. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 am - 5 pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James "Doug" Schultz can be reached on 571-272-0763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

Application/Control Number: 10/556,711 Page 6

Art Unit: 1635

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

October 10, 2008 /Terra Cotta Gibbs/ Application Number

| Application/Control No. |                | Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination |  |  |
|-------------------------|----------------|---|--|--|
|                         | 10/556,711     | ICHIRO ET AL.                           |  |  |
|                         | Examiner       | Art Unit                                |  |  |
|                         | TERRA C. GIBBS | 1635                                    |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Part of Paper No. 20081009